arXiv:astro-ph/9403009v1 7 Mar 1994

On the formation of elliptical galaxies

A. Burkert
Max-Planck-Institut für Astrophysik
Karl-Schwarzschild-Str. 1, 85740 Garching bei München
Fed. Rep. of Germany

email: andi@ibm-1.mpa-garching.mpg.de

submitted to *Mon. Not. R. Astr. Soc.*

Abstract

The violent relaxation of dissipationless stellar systems as a possible scenario for the formation of elliptical galaxies is investigated in detail. It is shown that a cold dissipationless collapse of initially spherically symmetric or irregular stellar systems leads to universal de Vaucouleurs profiles only outside 1.5 effective radii R_e. Inside $1.5R_e$ the surface density profiles depend strongly on the initial conditions and are in general not in agreement with the de Vaucouleurs law. This result is in contradiction to the observations which show that all elliptical galaxies have de Vaucouleurs profiles inside $1.5R_e$ and show strong deviations from such a universal surface brightness distribution outside $1.5R_e$. The $r^{1/4}$-profiles of E-galaxies are therefore not a direct result of collisionless violent relaxation and angular momentum redistribution. It is rather a universal initial density profile which is required in order to explain the universal structure of E-galaxies.

A new model for the formation of E-galaxies is presented which assumes that the ellipticals and the bulges of spiral galaxies form from isothermal, gaseous spheres which accumulated in the core regions of dark matter halos and condense into stars through a cooling instability. It is shown that under these circumstances a stellar system would form with a de Vaucouleurs profile in the observed radius range, that is between $0.1R_e \leq R \leq 1.5R_e$. This scenario predicts that early type galaxies trace directly the core radii and core masses of their dark matter halos, providing important information on the nature of the dark matter component in galaxies.

1 Introduction

Two different theories for the formation of elliptical galaxies are currently discussed in the literature: the cold dissipationless collapse and the merging scenario. The cold collapse model (van Albada 1982) assumes that ellipticals formed from an initially gaseous protogalaxy which dissipated its internal kinetic and thermal energy and began to collapse. A subsequent violent star burst transformed most of the gas into stars on a timescale, shorter than the timescale which was required in order for the gas to settle into a rotationally supported, disk-like substructure. The merging scenario (Toomre 1977), on the other hand, assumes that primordial density fluctuations first formed spiral galaxies. These spirals lateron merged, resulting in spheroidal ellipticals as a secondary event.

The collisionless merging of spiral galaxies has recently been studied in detail by Hernquist (1992, 1993). Hernquist shows that the merger remnants have central densities which are too low when compared with elliptical galaxies. This result leads to the conclusion that the merging spirals either contained already massive bulges (Hernquist 1993) or that ellipticals formed from preferentially gaseous protodisks (Steinmetz & Müller 1993). In the first case, the problem of the formation of early type galaxies is not solved as one would still have to explain the formation of massive, dense stellar bulges in spiral galaxies and one has to understand, why only Sa-type galaxies merged and formed E-galaxies. In the second, more likely case the merging leads again to a dissipation and radial contraction phase of the gas inside the merger remnant. This scenario is therefore very similar to the cold collapse model, assuming very special, irregular initial conditions.

One of the most important constraints for models of E-galaxy formation are their universal surface brightness profiles which were discovered by de Vaucouleurs (1948). The universality of these de Vaucouleurs profiles indicates that there exists a relaxation mechanism which efficiently erases the information about the details of the initial state, leading to similar final states. Note, that in this case the density structure of E-galaxies cannot be used in order to

reconstruct the initial conditions which lead to the formation of E-galaxies.

It is generally assumed that the dominant relaxation mechanism in ellipticals is collisionless violent relaxation (Lynden-Bell 1967) and angular momentum redistribution. The main requirement is a gravitational potential which varies with large amplitudes on timescales similar to the dynamical timescale. Then, the specific energy of the stars is not conserved and energy redistribution could lead to an universal final state which is independent of the initial stellar energy distribution. In fact both, merging and a violent collapse lead to strong variations of the gravitational potential, required for violent relaxation to be effective.

Van Albada (1982), in a famous and pioneering paper discussed the violent relaxation of stellar systems and discovered that the final equilibrium state depends strongly on the initial virial coefficient $\eta_{vir} = 2E_{kin}/|E_{pot}|$ where E_{kin} is the total kinetic energy and E_{pot} is the potential energy of the system. In initially virialized stellar systems ($\eta_{vir} \approx 1$) the stellar energy distribution is almost conserved. Therefore the final equilibrium state depends strongly on the initial state and is general not in agreement with the observed structure of E-galaxies. On the other hand, cold stellar systems ($\eta_{vir} \leq 0.1$) form anisotropic, universal equilibrium states which have de Vaucouleurs profiles. This result seems to confirm the importance of violent relaxation for the formation of E-galaxies.

Since van Albada's work several authors have investigated the cold, dissipationless collapse of stellar systems in great detail (May & van Albada 1984; Aguilar & Merritt 1990; Burkert 1990; Londrillo et al. 1991; Cannizzo & Hollister 1992). May and van Albada (1984) argued that clumpy initial conditions lead to surface density profiles which fit the $r^{1/4}$-law even better than initially spherically symmetric models. This would indicate that ellipticals formed through the violent merging of stellar subunits with smaller masses ($M \approx 10^9 M_\odot$), in agreement with cosmological hierarchical merging scenarios. On the other hand, Aguilar and Merritt (1990) studied spherically symmetric and centrally condensed initial conditions and found, that cold stellar systems with an initial density profile $\rho(r) \sim r^{-1}$ achieve $r^{1/4}$-profiles with a similar accuracy as the clumpy initial conditions. In addition, analytical distribution functions have been invented (Bertin & Stiavelli 1984; Bertin, Saglia & Stiavelli 1988,1992, Hjorth & Madsen 1991) which fit the brightness profiles of ellipticals and the physics of violent relaxation and phase mixing has been investigated in detail (Tremaine, Hénon & Lynden-Bell 1986; Carlberg 1986; Spergel & Hernquist 1992).

In most of these articles, the basic constraint was a resonably good fit to the de Vaucouleurs profile. Indeed, the published surface density distributions of violent collapse models fit the $r^{1/4}$-law quite well, however only in a limited radius range. Londrillo et al. (1991), for example, note that the models of van Albada (1982) and Aguilar and Merritt (1990) show significant departures from the de Vaucouleurs law in the inner and outer regions. Note that also the observations of ellipticals show departures from the $r^{1/4}$-law in certain radius ranges. Therefore the important question arises whether the region where ellipticals have universal brightness profiles matches the region where violent relaxation leads to such a profile. It is this question which will be examined in this paper.

Section 2 presents a new method which can be used in order determine whether a numerically derived surface density profile agrees with the universal brightness profiles of elliptical galaxies. Section 3 shows that, in general, a cold dissipationless collapse and by this violent relaxation and angular momentum redistribution does not lead to surface density profiles which agree with the observations. This result leads to the conclusion that there must exist another, more effective mechanism which explains the universality of E-galaxies. Section 4 presents a new scenario for the formation of E-galaxies.

2 Do ellipticals have $r^{1/4}$-law profiles?

De Vaucouleurs (1948) found that elliptical galaxies have universal surface density profiles which can be well described by the empirical relation

$$\log\left(\frac{\Sigma(R)}{\Sigma_e}\right) = -3.331\left[\left(\frac{R}{R_e}\right)^{1/4} - 1\right]. \quad (1)$$

Σ is the surface density, assuming a constant mass-to-light ratio. R is the projected distance from the center of the galaxy. R_e represents the effective radius which for a perfect $r^{1/4}$-law would determine the isophote that contains half of the total mass, and $\Sigma_e = \Sigma(R_e)$.

Since de Vaucouleurs' work, the universality of the brightness profiles of elliptical galaxies has been confirmed by many additional observations (see e.g. Schombert 1986, 1987; Caon, Capaccioli & Rampazzo 1990). It was however also demonstrated that E-galaxies often show strong deviations from the $r^{1/4}$-law. As elliptical galaxies, as well as theoretical violent relaxation models, do not lead to perfect de Vaucouleurs profiles, R_e looses its meaning as the projected half-mass radius and should be considered as a free parameter which specifies the scale length of the best fitting $r^{1/4}$-law within a given radius range. Note that there exist observed surface brightness profiles of E-galaxies which can be fitted by $r^{1/4}$-profiles with different corresponding effective radii, depending on the radius range (Schombert 1986, 1987).

In order to specify in which radius range and with which accuracy ellipticals do follow a universal profile Burkert (1993) investigated the photometric surface brightness profiles of a large sample of elliptical galaxies. He found that all ellipticals, independent of their global parameters, like their luminosity, ellipticity and anisotropy, fit the de Vaucouleurs law remarkably well within the same effective radius range $0.1R_e \leq R \leq 1.5R_e$. The scale length R_e must be defined consistently, using the slope of the best fitting $r^{1/4}$-profile $\Sigma_{1/4}(R)$ through the observational data points within the above specified radius range:

$$R_e = \left(\frac{-3.331}{d\log(\Sigma_{1/4})/dR^{1/4}}\right)^4. \quad (2)$$

The scale length R_e of the best fitting $r^{1/4}$-law, determined through equation 2, can deviate from the projected half-light radius within a factor of 2. Note also, that the radius range within which the data shows a universal profile covers more than 60 % of the total luminous mass and therefore is representative for the stellar distribution in these galaxies. All analyzed ellipticals show a maximum deviation of the observed surface density distribution $\Sigma(R)$ from the perfect $r^{1/4}$-law within $0.1R_e \leq R \leq 1.5R_e$ which is smaller than

$$\Delta\log\Sigma = \log\Sigma - \log\Sigma_{1/4} \leq 0.08. \quad (3)$$

As the surface density changes within this region by $\log\Sigma(R = 0.1R_e) - \log\Sigma(R = 1.5R_e) = 1.7$, the deviations are smaller than 5% of the total logarithmical surface density change. In addition, it was shown that ellipticals, in general, do not have de Vaucouleurs-like surface density profiles outside this radius range (see also Schombert 1986, 1987). We therefore can conclude that only those theoretical models of E-galaxy formation will reproduce the observed universal surface density profiles of E-galaxies which fit the $r^{1/4}$-law in the radius

range $0.1R_e \leq R \leq 1.5R_e$ with a similar high accuracy. In particular, numerical models which show a the $r^{1/4}$-law only in another effective radius range should be rejected.

In the following analysis the numerical results of violent relaxation and angular momentum redistribution will be compared with these new observations. First, the correct effective radius range has to be found. This is done by calculating $\log \Sigma(R^{1/4})$ from the projected particle distribution. Then, the radius range $0.6 \leq (R/R_e)^{1/4} \leq 1.1$ is specified iteratively, with R_e being defined consistently through the slope b of the best fitting straight line $log\Sigma_{1/4}(R) = a - b \times R^{1/4}$ through the data within this radius range, using the relation: $(R_e)^{1/4} = -3.331/b$. Finally, the maximum deviation $\Delta log\Sigma$ (equation 3) is determined and compared with the observations.

3 The surface density profiles of cold collapse models.

Does violent relaxation fulfill the constraint, imposed by equation 3? In order to answer this question we reinvestigate some of the collapse calculations which have been frequently discussed in the literature. In the following, we assume that the stellar system has a total mass $M = 1$, an initial radius $R_{max} = 1$ and a gravitational constant $G = 1$, leading to a mean initial density $\overline{\rho} = 0.24$ and an initial free fall time $\tau_{ff} = 1.11$. The N-body calculations are performed using a vectorized version of the Barnes-Hut TREECODE (Barnes & Hut 1986) which was written by Lars Hernquist (Hernquist 1987). The code parameters (Hernquist 1988) are set to: tolerance parameter $\theta = 0.7$, timestep $\Delta t = 0.005\tau_{ff}$ and force computation with quadrupole terms. In order to resolve the interesting radius range between $0.1R_e$ and $1.5R_e$ the smoothing length ϵ has to be much smaller than $0.1R_e$. Such a small ϵ requires a large number N of test particles. Otherwise, near encounters would affect the dynamics. Test calculations show that a total number of $N = 5\ 10^4 - 10^5$ particles and a smoothing length of $\epsilon = 0.005 \approx 0.02R_e$ is good enough in order to achieve the required resolution without affecting the global dynamics and conserving the total energy of the system better than one percent.

The calculations are stopped when an equilibrium state is reached (typically after $5 - 10\tau_{ff}$) and the density distribution has become time-independent. The final equilibrium state is then analyzed using a procedure which is similar to the observational data reduction algorithm used by Burkert (1993). First, a line of sight through the equilibrium model is chosen. Surface density profiles are then determined by binning the projected particle distribution into centered ellipsoidal rings of constant surface density. The inner radius r_i and the outer radius r_{i+1} of the ring i along the apparent major axis is determined by constructing a logarithmical grid with $r_1 < 0.1R_e$ and assuming that $r_{i+1} = 1.1r_i$. The ellipticity ϵ_i of ring i is determined by comparing the surface density distributions along the apparent major and minor axis. The logarithm of the surface densities $\log \Sigma_i$ of the rings i is then investigated as a function of their intermediate axis coordinates R_i, defined as

$$R_i = \left(\frac{0.5(r_i + r_{i+1})\sqrt{1 - \epsilon_i}}{R_e} \right) \tag{4}$$

where R_e is the intermediate axis effective radius, defined as described in section 2.

3.1 Spherically symmetric collapse models

We start with an initially homogeneous, spherically symmetric system. The test particles are distributed randomly so as to produce a velocity distribution function

$$f(r,v) = \frac{\overline{\rho}}{(2\pi\sigma^2)^{1.5}} \exp\left(-0.5\frac{v^2}{\sigma^2}\right). \quad (5)$$

The velocity dispersion σ is determined by the specifying the initial virial coefficient $\eta_{vir} = 0.1$ (Burkert 1990).

Figure 1 shows the surface density distribution of the final, prolate equilibrium state.Here the line of sight is chosen to be parallel to the minor axis of the ellipsoid. In agreement with the results obtained by van Albada (1982) and Burkert (1990) the outer region follows a de Vaucouleurs law whereas the inner part has a characteristic, polytrope-like structure. The best fitting $r^{1/4}$-law in the outer region is shown in Fig. 1 by the straight line. The model fits the de Vaucouleurs law only outside $1.5R_e$, that is at $(R/R_e)^{1/4} > 1.1$ and shows a completely different profile inside $1.5R_e$. This result is in contrast to the observed surface density profiles of elliptical galaxies, which fit the $r^{1/4}$-law inside $1.5R_e$ and show strong deviations for $R > 1.5R_e$. We therefore have to conclude that this model cannot explain the present structure of E-galaxies.

The previous result was actually expected from the work done e.g. by Jaffe (1987), Tremaine (1987), White (1987) and Hjorth & Madsen (1991) who have shown that the distribution function of the stars in the outer regions of violently collapsing stellar systems should lead to a density profile $\rho \sim r^{-4}$. Such a density distribution provides a reasonably good fit to the de Vaucouleurs profile outside $2R_e$, in agreement with the calculations. Furthermore, the chosen initial conditions have a low maximum phase space density and therefore form a large degenerate core (Burkert 1990) which dominates the inner region and might explain the deviation from a universal surface density distribution inside $1.5R_e$.

The effect of the central degeneracy can be strongly reduced if one starts from centrally condensed systems with regions of initially high phase space densities. This was demonstrated by Aguilar & Merritt (1990) who studied the evolution of cold stellar systems with an initial density gradient: $\rho \sim r^{-1}$. The figures 2a and 2b show the equilibrium surface density profile of a model with an initial virial coefficient $\eta_{vir} = 0.1$ and an r^{-1}-density profile. Like in the homogeneous model the outer region ($R > 1.5R_e$) shows a de Vaucouleurs profile. From Fig. 2a it is however evident that this $r^{1/4}$-profile cannot fit the inner region. Following the procedure which was discussed in Section 2 we now try to find the correct effective radius range. Unfortunately the slope $d\log\Sigma/dR^{1/4}$ becomes more negative with decreasing R and therefore the corresponding effective radius (equation 2) decreases faster than R, leading to an increase of (R/R_e) with decreasing R. This is demonstrated in Figure 2b which shows that the inner $r^{1/4}$-fit lies at even larger corresponding effective radii as before. Only in the innermost region does (R/R_e) decrease. There, it is however not possible to find a reasonable fit to the de Vaucouleurs profile.

An additional, spherically symmetric cold collapse calculation with an initial density distribution $\rho \sim r^{-3}$ and $\eta_{vir} = 0.1$ shows the same large deviations inside R_e as the previous models. In total, even for centrally condensed, spherically symmetric initial conditions does violent relaxation not lead to the observed universal surface density profiles of E-galaxies, independent of the initial state.

3.2 Clumpy initial conditions

Van Albada (1982) and May and van Albada (1984) argued that the information about the initial state is erased even more efficiently in initially clumpy stellar systems, due to the additional effect of angular momentum redistribution, leading to a better $r^{1/4}$-profile.

The figures 3a,b,c show the final surface density profile of an initially clumpy, cold stellar system. Here we adopt the same initial conditions as in model C3 of van Albada (1982): 20 spheres of radius 0.4 are placed randomly into a volume of radius 1. The isotropic velocity distribution of the subunits is chosen such that the virial coefficient is $\eta_{vir} = 0.1$. The clump masses , positions and velocities are randomly distributed and each clump is filled homogeneously with stars which move collectively with the assigned clump velocity.

In this case no dense, polytropic core forms anymore. Again one can fit a de Vaucouleurs profile to the outer region with $R > 1.5R_e$ (Fig. 3a). However, in contrast to the spherically symmetric models, $d\log\Sigma/dR^{1/4}$ becomes less negative for smaller R and therefore (R/R_e) increases inwards. As Fig. 4b shows, the inner region can be fitted nicely by a de Vaucouleurs law, however now inside $0.1R_e$ and not between $0.1R_e \leq R \leq 1.5R_e$. Figure 4c shows the best fit to this intermediate effective radius range. The maximum deviation from the perfect $r^{1/4}$-law is $\Delta\log\Sigma = 0.24$ and by this a factor of 3 larger than the observed maximum deviations in E-galaxies (equation 3). Note also, that more than 75% of all ellipticals in Burkert's sample have even smaller maximum deviations $\Delta\log\Sigma \leq 0.04$.

Even with this large deviation one might argue, that the violent relaxation and angular momentum redistribution in clumpy, cold collapse models explains the origin of $r^{1/4}$-profiles as also some ellipticals show systematic deviations, similar to the N-body calculation. In order to investigate this second order effect, Fig. 3d shows the normalized slope change

$$\delta b = \frac{1}{3.331}\left(\frac{d\log\Sigma_1}{d(R/R_e)^{1/4}} - \frac{d\log\Sigma_2}{d(R/R_e)^{1/4}}\right) \tag{6}$$

as a function of the anisotropy parameter

$$\left(\frac{v}{\sigma}\right)^* = \frac{v_{rot}/\sigma}{\sqrt{\epsilon/(1-\epsilon)}} \tag{7}$$

where v_{rot}, σ and ϵ is the maximum rotational velocity, the central velocity dispersion and the mean ellipticity, respectively. In equation (6), R_e is calculated, using equation (2), for the best fitting $r^{1/4}$-profile within $0.6 \leq (R/R_e)^{1/4} \leq 1.1$. Σ_1 and Σ_2 denote the best $r^{1/4}$-fits to the data points within the radius range $0.6 \leq (R/R_e)^{1/4} \leq 0.85$ and $0.85 \leq (R/R_e)^{1/4} \leq 1.1$, respectively (for a more detailed discussion, see Burkert 1993). The parameter δb therefore provides an estimate for the systematic second derivation of $\log\Sigma(R^{1/4})$. In Fig. 3d the observational data set, taken from Burkert (1993), is compared with the result of the clumpy cold collapse model. Anisotropic ellipticals with $(v/\sigma)^* < -0.2$ have negative δb, that is their outer surface density profiles decrease less steeply with respect to the $r^{1/4}$-fit of the inner regions. The theoretical model is anisotropic but has a very large, positive δb and therefore lies in a region which is not populated by E-galaxies. Thus, also this clumpy, cold collapse model must be rejected.

Additional calculations of initially cold and clumpy stellar systems have been performed which all show the same universal structure. The outer region $R > 1.5R_e$ of all the models fits nicely the $r^{1/4}$-law. However inside $1.5R_e$ the surface density distribution depends strongly on the chosen initial conditions and is in general not in a agreement with a de Vaucouleurs

law. This result is in contrast to the observations. Therefore, violent relaxation and angular momentum redistribution alone cannot explain the universal structure of elliptical galaxies.

3.3 Comparison with former calculations

It has frequently been claimed in the literature that the cold collapse models fit the de Vaucouleurs law very well. Therefore the question arises whether the present N-body calculations are in conflict with former computations or whether this disagreement is due to a different interpretation of otherwise similar results.

Aguilar and Merritt (1990) discussed in great detail the collapse of spherically symmetric, cold and centrally condensed initial states. They found that the $r^{1/4}$-fit becomes better, the colder the initial state, that is the smaller the initial virial coefficient. Their Fig. 11 however shows clearly that the de Vaucouleurs profile fits best within $1R_e \leq R \leq 10R_e$. In agreement with the present analysis no fit within $0.1R_e \leq R \leq 1.5R_e$ is found.

A comparison of our clumpy model with van Albada's computation is more difficult as the initial masses, the positions and the velocities of the clumps are determined randomly. In fact, the published radial density distribution of van Albada's model C3 leads to a spherically averaged surface density profile with a relative slope change of $\delta b = 0.45$ which is approximately a factor of 2 smaller than the result, reported in this paper. Still, van Albada's model is located in the upper left region of figure 3d which is not populated by elliptical galaxies. The difference between van Albada's calculation and the present computation might result from the fact that δb depends strongly on the details of the initial condition, which is determined randomly. Test calculations show that different random distributions of the clump masses, positions, and velocities lead to variations in δb which are consistent with the difference found between van Albada's model and the present calculation.

It is important to note that there exist initial conditions which lead to $r^{1/4}$-profiles within the right radius range. One example will be discussed in the section 4. Other examples are discussed by Londrillo et al. (1991) who studied generalized Plummer distributions. Fig. 4 shows the final surface density profile of a test calculation with the same initial condition as in model P5 of Londrillo et al.. In perfect agreement with Londrillo et al., a nice $r^{1/4}$-fit is found within the radius range $0.6 \leq (R/R_e)^{1/4} \leq 1.1$. This test demonstrates that the numerical method which is used in this paper can indeed resolve a de Vaucouleurs profile within the required radius range, if such a profile actually forms. On the other hand, the fact that very special initial density distributions are required in order to fit the observed $r^{1/4}$-profiles leads to the conclusion that the dominant mechanism is not the statistical mechanics of violent relaxation and angular momentum redistribution. The ellipticals instead formed already with the right density distribution and one has to investigate, which mechanism could lead to such universal initial conditions.

4 The central condensation model

It is well known, that King models (King 1966) fit the brightness profiles of E-galaxies well, if their concentration indices c are large: $c = \log(R_t/R_c) \approx 2$. Here R_c and R_t is the core and tidal radius, respectively. Early type galaxies might therefore have formed from centrally concentrated, isothermal clouds with a cut-off radius $R_c \ll R_t$. As the stellar component adopts the kinematics and density structure of the gas inside which it forms, the initial stellar distribution function is

$$f(r,v) = \begin{cases} \frac{\rho_1}{(2\pi\sigma^2)^{1.5}} \exp\left(\frac{\Phi(r)-0.5v^2}{\sigma^2}\right) & \text{if } r \leq R_t \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \tag{8}$$

The velocity dispersion σ is correlated with the temperature of the gaseous protogalaxy. The cut-off radius R_t is fixed through a dark matter halo, as will be discussed lateron. The figures 5a and 5b show the equilibrium surface density profiles of two stellar systems with initial distribution functions, described by equation (8) and large tidal radii $R_t = 15R_c$. The model, shown in Fig. 5a, was initially virialized. It remained isotropic with some relaxation near R_t. The model, shown in Fig. 5b, had a small initial velocity dispersion σ, corresponding to an initial virial coefficient $\eta_{vir} = 0.1$. This stellar system went through a violent collapse phase and settled into an anisotropic, prolate equilibrium state. Both surface density profiles fit the $r^{1/4}$-law well inside the radius range $0.6 \leq (R/R_e)^{1/4} \leq 1.1$. They also lie in the $\delta b - (v/\sigma)^*$-region which is populated by E-galaxies (Fig. 3d).

Up to now, dark matter has been neglected. It is however reasonable to assume, that the total mass fraction of baryonic to dark matter is independent of the Hubble type and that, like in spiral galaxies, a dark matter halo dominates the potential in the outer regions of ellipticals. Within the framework of the central condensation model we will assume, that the ellipticals and the bulges of spiral galaxies formed through the merging of primordial, gaseous density fluctuations, embedded in dark matter halos. Whereas the dark matter experienced a collisionless, violent relaxation phase, the collision dominated gas decoupled from the dark matter component, dissipated its energy and fell into the inner regions of the potential well. Lin and Murray (1992) have shown that self-regulated high-mass star formation during this early contraction phase could heat efficiently the interstellar medium, preventing an early violent star burst. The gas finally settled into the core region of the dark matter halo where it achieved an isothermal equilibrium state with sound velocity c_g. In this region one can approximate the dark matter density distribution by an isothermal sphere with a velocity dispersion σ_{DM}. The gas density distribution ρ_g and therefore the density of the stellar system which formed from the gas through a thermal instability (Field 1965; Murray & Lin 1989), is coupled with the distribution of the dark matter ρ_{DM} through the hydrostatic equation:

$$\frac{c_g^2}{\rho_g}\frac{d\rho_g}{dr} = -\bigtriangledown\Phi = \frac{\sigma_{DM}^2}{\rho_{DM}}\frac{d\rho_{DM}}{dr} \tag{9}$$

where Φ is the gravitational potential, generated by the dark matter and the baryons. The solution to equation (9) is

$$\rho_g = A(\rho_{DM})^{\sigma_{DM}^2/c_g^2} \tag{10}$$

where A is a constant. Given the central gas density $\rho_g(r=0)$ and using equation (10) one can calculate the radial density distribution of the baryons and the dark matter, using Poisson's equation:

$$\frac{1}{r^2}\frac{d}{dr}\left(r^2\frac{d\ln\rho_g}{dr}\right) = \frac{4\pi G}{c_g^2}\left(\rho_g + \rho_{DM}\right). \tag{11}$$

A detailed investigation of the possible solutions for equation (11) as a function of the free parameters will be presented in a subsequent paper. As an example, Fig. 6 shows the

density distribution of the baryons and dark matter, as well as the baryonic surface density distribution for centrally condensed gaseous spheres with temperature ratios $\sigma^2_{DM}/c^2_g \approx 2$. As expected, the baryons show a density distribution which is equal to the profile of a self-gravitating isothermal sphere in the inner region and which has a density cut-off at a radius, equal to the core radius of the dark matter halo. Such a large, negative density gradient outside the dark matter core is expected from equation (10) as in the outer regions $\rho_{DM} \sim r^{-2}$ and therefore $\rho_g \sim r^{-4}$. Figure (6) shows that the surface density profile fits the de Vaucouleurs law nicely within the radius range $0.1 \leq (R/R_e) \leq 1.5$. Note, that this model also predicts a strong correlation between the radii and total masses of ellipticals and the core radii and core masses of their dark matter halos.

5 Conclusions

It has been shown that cold, dissipationless collapse models and therefore violent relaxation and angular momentum redistribution cannot explain the universality of the surface brightness profiles of E-galaxies. These cold collapse models lead to a de Vaucouleurs profile outside $1.5R_e$. Inside $1.5R_e$ the surface density structure depends strongly on the initial density distribution and does in general not fit an $r^{1/4}$-law. Ellipticals on the other hand show a de Vaucouleurs law inside $1.5R_e$ and no universal surface brightness distribution outside $1.5R_e$.

As a possible, alternative explanation we have assumed that the gaseous proto-ellipticals achieved a universal density profile, prior to the star formation event. The central condensation model assumes, that the protogalactic gas settled into the core regions of the dark matter halo and formed an isothermal, hydrostatic sphere, before condensing into stars through a cooling instability. Stellar systems which formed in this way would have the right surface brightness profiles, observed in elliptical galaxies. Additional late infall of high angular momentum gas might explain the formation of a galactic disk within and around these spheroidal systems which then would be classified as bulges of spiral galaxies or as S0-galaxies (Meisels & Ostriker 1984). In this scenario, E-galaxies and bulges trace directly the core radii and core masses of dark matter halos, providing important information on the nature of dark matter in galaxies.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I thank R. Bender, J. Binney, J. Ostriker and M. Steinmetz for enlightning discussions. Special thanks go to L. Hernquist for making available his TREECODE. All calculations have been performed on the Cray YMP 4/64 of the Rechenzentrum Garching.

REFERENCES

Aguilar, L.A. & Merritt, D., 1990. *Astrophys. J.* **354**, 33.

Barnes, J. & Hut, P., 1986. *Nature* **324**, 446.

Bertin, G. & Stiavelli, M., 1984. *Astr. Astrophys.* **137**, 26.

Bertin, G., Saglia, R.B. & Stiavelli, M., 1988. *Astrophys. J.* **330**, 78.

Bertin, G., Saglia, R.B. & Stiavelli, M., 1992. *Astrophys. J.* **384**, 423.

Burkert, A., 1990. *Mon. Not. R. astr. Soc.* **247**, 152.

Burkert, A., 1993. *Astr. Astrophys.* **278**, 23.

Cannizzo, J.K. & Hollister, T.C., 1992. *Astrophys. J.* **400**, 58.

Caon, N., Capaccioli, M. & Rampazzo, R., 1990. *Astrophys. J.-Suppl. Ser.* **86**, 429.

Carlberg, R.G., 1986. *Astrophys. J.* **310**, 593.

de Vaucouleurs, G., 1948. *Ann. d'Astrophys.* **11**, 28.

Field, G.B., 1965. *Astrophys. J.* **142**, 531.

Hernquist, L., 1987. *Astrophys. J.-Suppl. Ser.* **64**, 715.

Hernquist, L., 1988. *Comp. Phys. Comm.* **48**, 107.

Hernquist, L., 1992. *Astrophys. J.* **400**, 460.

Hernquist, L., 1993. *Astrophys. J.* **409**, 548.

Hjorth, J. & Madsen, J., 1991. *Mon. Not. R. astr. Soc.* **253**, 703.

Jaffe, W., 1987. In: *Structure and Dynamics of Elliptical Galaxies, IAU Symp. No. 127*, p.511, ed. de Zeeuw, T., Reidel, Dordrecht.

King, I.R., 1966. *Astron. J.* **71**, 64.

Lin, D.N. & Murray, S.D., 1992. *Astrophys. J.* **394**, 523.

Londrillo, P., Messina, A. & Stiavelli, M., 1991. *Mon. Not. R. astr. Soc.* **250**, 54.

Lynden-Bell, D., 1967. *Mon. Not. R. astr. Soc.* **136**, 101.

May, A. & van Albada, T.S., 1984. *Mon. Not. R. astr. Soc.* **209**, 15.

Meisels, A. & Ostriker, J.P. 1984. *Astron. J.* **89**, 10.

Murray, S.D. & Lin, D.N.C., 1989. *Astrophys. J.* **339**, 933.

Schombert, J.M., 1986. *Astrophys. J.-Suppl. Ser.* **60**, 603.

Schombert, J.M., 1987. *Astrophys. J.-Suppl. Ser.* **64**, 643. **45**, L39.

Spergel, D.N. & Hernquist, L., 1992. *Astrophys. J.* **397**, L75.

Steinmetz, M & Müller, E., 1993. *Astr. Astrophys.* **281**, L97.

Toomre, A., 1977. In: *The Evolution of Galaxies and Stellar Populations*, p.401, ed. Tinsley, B.M. & Larson, R., New Haven: Yale Univ. Obs..

Tremaine, S., 1987. In: *Structure and Dynamics of Elliptical Galaxies, IAU Symp. No. 127*, p.367, ed. de Zeeuw, T., Reidel, Dordrecht.

Tremaine, S., Hénon, M. & Lynden-Bell, D., 1986. *Mon. Not. R. astr. Soc.* **219**, 285.

van Albada, T.S., 1982. *Mon. Not. R. astr. Soc.* **201**, 939.

White, S.D.M., 1987. In: *Structure and Dynamics of Elliptical Galaxies, IAU Symp. No. 127*, p.339, ed. de Zeeuw, T., Reidel, Dordrecht.

FIGURE CAPTIONS

Figure 1. The logarithm of the equilibrium surface density Σ, normalized to the effective surface density $\Sigma_e = \Sigma(R_e)$ is shown for the initially homogeneous collapse model. R is the intermediate axis radius and R_e is the effective radius, calculated from the best $r^{1/4}$-fit to the outer regions (solid line). The error bars show the statistical 2σ errors, calculated from the total number of test particles within each radial bin.

Figure 2. The equilibrium surface density distribution of the initially centrally concentrated, spherically symmetric collapse model is shown. The figures 2a and 2b show the best $r^{1/4}$-fit to the outer and inner region, respectively.

Figure 3. The figures 3a,b,c show the best $r^{1/4}$-fit to the outer, inner and intermediate region of the clumpy collapse model. The relative slope change δb of observed ellipticals is shown in Fig. 3d as a function of their anisotropy parameter $(v/\sigma)^*$. The clumpy model (starred symbol) lies inside a region which is not populated by galaxies. The two triangles show the relative slope change of the isotropic and anisotropic isothermal models, discussed in section 4.

Figure 4. The equilibrium surface density distribution of a cold collapse calculation is shown, which started with a polytropic initial condition (model P5 of Londrillo et al. (1991)).

Figure 5. The equilibrium surface density distribution of the isotropic (Fig. 5a) and anisotropic (Fig. 5b) isothermal models without a dark halo is compared with the de Vaucouleurs law (solid lines).

Figure 6. The density distribution ρ of the baryons (solid line) and the dark matter halo (dashed line), normalized to the central dark matter density ρ_0 is shown in Fig. 6a as a function of the radius r, normalized to the dark matter core radius r_c. Fig. 6b compares the baryonic surface density (solid line) with the de Vaucouleurs law (dashed line).